#### Integrated Impact Assessment Screening Form Which service area and directorate are you from?

Service Area: N/A

Directorate: Councillors Rob Stewart, David Hopkins, Andrea Lewis, Louise Gibbard, Alyson Pugh, Robert Smith, Hayley Gwilliam, Elliott King, Robert Francis-Davies, Andrew Stevens, Cyril Anderson, Chris Holley

### Q1 (a) What are you screening for relevance?

<ul> <li>New and revised policies, practices or procedures</li> <li>Service review, re-organisation or service changes/reductions, which affect the wider</li> </ul>
community, service users and/or staff
Efficiency or saving proposals
Setting budget allocations for new financial year and strategic financial planning
New project proposals affecting staff, communities or accessibility to the built environment,
e.g., new construction work or adaptations to existing buildings, moving to on-line services,
changing location
Large Scale Public Events
Local implementation of National Strategy/Plans/Legislation
Strategic directive and intent, including those developed at Regional Partnership Boards and
Public Services Board, which impact on a public bodies functions
Medium to long term plans (for example, corporate plans, development plans, service delivery
and improvement plans)
Setting objectives (for example, well-being objectives, equality objectives, Welsh language strategy)
Major procurement and commissioning decisions
Decisions that affect the ability (including external partners) to offer Welsh language
opportunities and services
Other Other

#### (b) Please name and fully <u>describe</u> initiative here:

#### Notice of motion - Rwanda Deportation Policy

This council deplores the immoral and unethical policy of the Tory U.K. Government of deporting men, women and children, who may be fleeing conflict or persecution, to Rwanda

Similar policies have previously been found to be unworkable and ineffective when employed by Governments in Israel and Denmark

The U.K. must honour its obligations under international law and support refugees and those seeking asylum.

In addition to the immorality of deporting refugees and asylum seekers, the decision to choose Rwanda, which is a country with a poor record on human rights, and which retains draconian polices on female rights and a womens right to choose, and gay rights, we find it completely unacceptable and deplorable. This is not a country where many of the modern and progressive laws of the U.K. or EU apply and will leave many individuals at significant risk.

The suggestion made by senior U.K. Government Ministers that the U.K. could withdraw from the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR), which was set up after the atrocities of the Second World War, is a further worrying example of this Governments disregard for the rules of international law and looks like an attempt to undermine the principles of freedom, liberty, and equality.

#### Therefore, we call on the U.K. Government to

- Immediately stop this immoral and unethical policy and instead explore effective ways of preventing people trafficking.
- Engage with European partners and international crime prevention organisations to work together to break the people trafficking and exploitation networks
- To work with Local Authorities and communities, including people with lived experience to build a fairer and more effective asylum system
- Invest in immigration services and properly fund the immigration system
- Restate the U.K. commitment to remain a member of the ECHR
- Request the Leader (and supporting Group Leaders) write to the Prime Minister and Home Secretary to outline our opposition to this policy, and to call for its immediate discontinuation

# Q2 What is the potential impact on the following: the impacts below could be positive (+) or negative (-)

High Impact	Medium Impact	Low Impact	Needs further
			Investigation
<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	<b>+</b> .	
	$\boxtimes \square$		
	$\square$		
	$\square$		
n)	$\square$		
		$\boxtimes$	
		$\boxtimes$	
		$\square$	
	+ -	+ - + - □ □	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Welsh Language		$\square$	
Poverty/social exclusion		$\boxtimes$	
Carers (inc. young carers)		$\boxtimes$	
Community cohesion	$\boxtimes$		
Marriage & civil partnership		$\boxtimes$	
Pregnancy and maternity		$\boxtimes$	
Human Rights			

Q3 What involvement has taken place/will you undertake e.g. engagement/consultation/co-productive approaches? Please provide details below – either of your activities or your reasons for not undertaking involvement

Not applicable for notice of motions

Have you considered the Well-being of Future Generations Act (Wales) Q4 2015 in the development of this initiative:

- a) Overall does the initiative support our Corporate Plan's Well-being Objectives when considered together? Yes 🖂 No 🗌
- b) Does the initiative consider maximising contribution to each of the seven national wellbeing goals? No 🗌
  - Yes 🖂
- c) Does the initiative apply each of the five ways of working? Yes 🖂 No
- d) Does the initiative meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs? Yes No
- Q5 What is the potential risk of the initiative? (Consider the following impacts - equality, socio-economic, environmental, cultural, legal, financial, political, *media, public perception etc...)*

	High risk	Medium risk	Low risk
6	Will this initiative h	ave an impact (however	minor) on any other Cou

Qe Incil service?

Yes

No No If yes, please provide details below

Q7 Will this initiative result in any changes needed to the external or internal website?

Q8 What is the cumulative impact of this proposal on people and/or communities when considering all the impacts identified within the screening and any other key decisions affecting similar groups/ service users made by the organisation?

(You may need to discuss this with your Service Head or Cabinet Member to consider more widely if this proposal will affect certain groups/ communities more adversely because of other decisions the organisation is making. For example, financial impact/poverty, withdrawal of multiple services and whether this is disadvantaging the same groups, e.g., disabled people, older people, single parents (who are mainly women), etc.)

This notice of motion outlines the Councils position on the UK government policy. Its hopes to put pressure on the UK government regarding this decision. The actions requested by this Council would have a positive benefits on the group listed

#### **Outcome of Screening**

- Q9 Please describe the outcome of your screening using the headings below:
  - Summary of impacts identified and mitigation needed (Q2)
  - Summary of involvement (Q3)
  - WFG considerations (Q4)
  - Any risks identified (Q5)
  - Cumulative impact (Q7)

This notice of motion outlines the Councils position on the UK government policy. Its hopes to put pressure on the UK government regarding this decision. The actions requested by this Council would have a positive benefits on the group listed A full IIA is not required.

(NB: This summary paragraph should be used in the **'Integrated Assessment Implications'** section of corporate report)

Full IIA to be completed

## Do not complete IIA – please ensure you have provided the relevant information above to support this outcome

NB: Please email this completed form to the Access to Services Team for agreement before obtaining approval from your Head of Service. Head of Service approval is only required via email.

Screening completed by:
Name: Rhian Millar
Job title: Access to Services Manager
Date: 20/6/22
Approval by Head of Service:
Name: Tracey Meredith
Name: Tracey Meredith Position: Chief Legal Officer